IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

MONDAY, THE 6TH AUGUST 2007 / 15TH SRAVANA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 1441 of 2006(S)
---
ARISING OUT OF ORDER DATED 8.8.2006 IN I.A.NO.6483 OF 2006 IN WPC.36442 OF 2005
.....

PETITIONER/WORKMEN
---

T.VALSALAN, S/O.KUNHAMBU,
AGED 48 YEARS, DIVYALAYAM,
EDAYANNUR P.O., KANNUR-670 595.

BY ADV. SRI.K.ANAND

RESPONDENTS/PETITIONERS:
---

1. A.K.RAVINDRAN,
(AGE AND FATHERS NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER),
CHEKKIKULAM P.O., CHEKKUKULAM, KANNUR-1.

2. C.V.GEETHA,
(AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER),
LAKSHMIKUMAR NIVAS, CHEKKUKULAM P.O., KANNUR-1.

BY ADV. SRI.M.K.SUMOD
SRI.P.F.THOMAS (SR.)
SRISHIJU VARGHEESE
SRI.C.S.YESUDAS
SMT.M.J.SAJITHA

THIS CONTEMPT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 06/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

**H.L.DATTU, C.J. & K.T.SANKARAN, J.**

---

**Cont.Case (C)No.1441 of 2006**

---

Dated, this the 6th day of August, 2007

**JUDGMENT**

**H.L.Dattu, C.J.**

Alleging that the respondents have wilfully and deliberately disobeyed the orders and directions issued by this Court in I.A.No.6483 of 2006 in W.P. (C) No.36442 of 2005-L dated 8th August, 2006, the complainant is before us in this contempt petition. This Court by its order dated 23rd July, 2007 had framed charges against the contemnors. The matter was posted to today for recording the evidence of the parties.

(2) Now a joint memo is filed by the counsel for the petitioner and the respondents. The joint memo reads as under:

"Before the Honourable High Court of Kerala at Ernakulam

Cont.Case (Civil) No.1441 of 2006-S

T.Valsalan ... Petitioner

vs.

A.K.Raveendran and another ... Respondents

JOINT MEMO SUBMITTED BY THE COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER AND RESPONDENTS

---

It is submitted that a sum of Rs.66,388/- (Rupees sixty six thousand three hundred and eight eight only) paid by the Respondents towards the compliance of the subject matter order is received by the counsel appearing for the Petitioner and in view of that full satisfaction of arrears of wages upto and inclusive of the month of July 2007 may be recorded.

Dated this the 6th day of August, 2007

Counsel for the Petitioner Sd/- K.Anand

Counsel for the Respondents Sd/- M.K.Sumod"

(3) In view of the joint memo filed by the counsel for the complainant and the respondents, we need not have proceeded further in this contempt proceedings. Therefore, the proceedings are dropped.

Ordered accordingly.

(**H.L.DATTU)**
**CHIEF JUSTICE**

**(K.T.SANKARAN)**
**JUDGE**

vns